

Requested Patent: EP1338430A1

Title: METHOD OF PREPARING A SECURITY DOCUMENT ;

Abstracted Patent: EP1338430 ;

Publication Date: 2003-08-27 ;

Inventor(s):

STONE DAVID ALLEN [GB]; DEAN JULIA RUTH [GB]; HOWLAND PAUL [GB] ;

Applicant(s): RUE DE INT LTD [GB] ;

Application Number: EP20030250978 20030218 ;

Priority Number(s): GB20020003916 20020219 ;

IPC Classification: B41M3/14; B41M7/00; B42D15/00 ;

Equivalents: CA2419190, GB2385298 ;

ABSTRACT:

A method of preparing a security document which comprises printing on at least one side of a security paper and thereafter applying to at least one side of the printed security paper over the printing a substantially transparent coating composition comprising an unpigmented polyurethane and/or polyacrylate, said coating composition being such as to provide a film, when cast on a glass surface, that has a K_nig hardness of from 15 to 130 seconds, and also passes the water resistance test as defined by the following steps: (a) the total formulation to be used in the coating is cast on a glass plate so as to produce a film with a dry weight of 80 g/m; (b) the film is initially dried at 23 DEG C and once tack free dried for an additional hour at 80 DEG C; (c) the film is weighed before being wetted and tested for tensile strength and Young's Modulus and is visually checked for any change in transparency; (d) a sample of the film is boiled in water containing 10 g/litre Na₂CO₃ for 30 minutes; (e) the film is then rinsed in cold water and the steps (b) to (c) are then repeated; wherein when the film is dried and re-weighed the film meets the following criteria: (i) the wet tensile strength and Young's Modulus of the boiled film are not less than 90% of the initial film wet tensile strength and Young's Modulus; (ii) the film shows no perceptible loss of transparency; and (iii) the dried weight of the film is not less than 98% of the original weight.



(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(43) Date of publication:
27.08.2003 Bulletin 2003/35

(51) Int Cl.7: **B41M 3/14, B41M 7/00,
B42D 15/00**

(21) Application number: **03250978.8**

(22) Date of filing: **18.02.2003**

(84) Designated Contracting States:
**AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR
HU IE IT LI LU MC NL PT SE SI SK TR**
Designated Extension States:
AL LT LV MK RO

- **Dean, Julia Ruth**
Fair Oak, Eastleigh Hants SO50 7HA (GB)
- **Howland, Paul**
Andover Hants SP10 2QR (GB)

(30) Priority: **19.02.2002 GB 0203916**

(71) Applicant: **De La Rue International Limited**
Basingstoke, Hampshire RG22 4BS (GB)

(74) Representative: **Hayes, Adrian Chetwynd et al**
Boulton Wade Tennant,
Verulam Gardens
70 Gray's Inn Road
London WC1X 8BT (GB)

(72) Inventors:
• **Stone, David Allen**
Winklebury, Basingstoke Hants RG23 8JE (GB)

(54) **Method of preparing a security document**

(57) A method of preparing a security document which comprises printing on at least one side of a security paper and thereafter applying to at least one side of the printed security paper over the printing a substantially transparent coating composition comprising an unpigmented polyurethane and/or polyacrylate, said coating composition being such as to provide a film, when cast on a glass surface, that has a König hardness of from 15 to 130 seconds, and also passes the water resistance test as defined by the following steps:

- (a) the total formulation to be used in the coating is cast on a glass plate so as to produce a film with a dry weight of 80 g/m²;
- (b) the film is initially dried at 23 °C and once tack free dried for an additional hour at 80 °C;
- (c) the film is weighed before being wetted and tested for tensile strength and Young's Modulus and is visually checked for any change in transparency;
- (d) a sample of the film is boiled in water containing 10 g/litre Na₂CO₃ for 30 minutes;

- (e) the film is then rinsed in cold water and the steps (b) to (c) are then repeated;

wherein when the film is dried and re-weighed the film meets the following criteria:

- (i) the wet tensile strength and Young's Modulus of the boiled film are not less than 90% of the initial film wet tensile strength and Young's Modulus;
- (ii) the film shows no perceptible loss of transparency; and
- (iii) the dried weight of the film is not less than 98% of the original weight.

Description

[0001] The present invention relates to a method for preparing a security document, and more particularly to those documents which are subject to considerable amounts of handling such as banknotes and driving licences.

[0002] It is important that such security documents should be durable; in other words they should be resistant to tearing, fold damage and soiling. Moisture and chemicals absorbed by such security documents during handling can lead to physical degradation. It is desirable that the substrate for such security documents is resistant to absorption. It is, of course, a prime requirement for such security documents that the print which is applied to the substrate should adhere well, especially under severe conditions involving mechanical abrasion or accidental laundering.

[0003] Security documents of the kind with which this invention is concerned incorporate one or more security features, especially visible security features, to prevent or deter counterfeiting. Such security features which may be used include, for example, watermarks and security threads present in the paper. Security threads may be disposed entirely within the paper or may appear in so-called windows located between regions where the thread is positioned between the surfaces of the paper for example as present in the Bank of England Series E banknotes. It is normal in security documents for the substrate to be of sufficiently good quality such as to enable satisfactory embossing to be achieved, such as embossing produced by the known Intaglio printing and to ensure good wear properties.

[0004] Previous proposals to provide paper for security documents which have good soil resistance and durability have involved the use of coating compositions which incorporate a pigment together with a binder such as an elastomeric binder. It is also known generally that various polyurethane compositions can be used on a wide variety of substrates to provide coatings which have a protective effect of one kind or another; amongst such uses, polyurethanes have been employed as a varnish for wood or other substrates. Also, it is known from European Patent EP-B-189945 to use polyurethane compositions as sizings for paper.

[0005] In the case where a coating composition involving a pigment is used for the production of security paper, e.g. as in WO-A-91/12372, such pigment usually has a benefit in providing microporosity or roughness which enables satisfactory ink keying to be achieved. However, there is a serious disadvantage resulting from the presence of a pigment, namely that a security feature such as a watermark or windowed thread present in the substrate is to some extent obscured.

[0006] Pigmented coatings are inherently weak resulting from the presence of the pigment which causes the binder to be less firmly attached to the substrate in specific locations.

[0007] EP-A-813,321 describes a method of preparing a security document in which a substantially transparent coating composition comprising an unpigmented polyurethane which meets certain requirements is applied after a sizing agent on paper to prepare a security paper. This security paper may be printed on to form a security document. Such a method produces security paper which has performed well in the market. It is possible to further improve the soil resistance of the paper by having a thicker coating. However, it has been found that the thicker the coating, the more problems there are with ink adhesion of the printing on top of the coating. In particular the adhesion becomes poorer after the security document has been in circulation for some time, particularly with relatively thick printing such as Intaglio printing. Furthermore, it has been found that increasing the thickness of the coating under the printing can lengthen the drying time of the printing. For example, we have found that wet litho printing can take 6 days to dry on normal paper, but 9 days on coated paper. Paper with thicker coatings tends to have longer drying times.

[0008] It is known to coat security papers with a varnish after printing. However, such varnishes tend to crack over time and soil and dirt can accumulate in the cracks.

[0009] We have surprisingly found that providing the coating over the printing provides similar soil resistance and reduces or overcomes the problem of lack of adhesion of the printing. Furthermore, surprisingly this coating does not appreciably crack like some known varnishes and so does not substantially suffer from soil and dirt accumulation in these areas. Additionally, coating over the printing can avoid lengthy drying times.

[0010] Accordingly the present invention provides a method of preparing a security document which comprises printing on at least one side of a security paper and thereafter applying to at least one side of the printed security paper over the printing a substantially transparent coating composition comprising an unpigmented polyurethane and/or polyacrylate, said coating composition being such as to provide a film, when cast on a glass surface, that has a König hardness of from 15 to 130 seconds, and also passes the water resistance test as defined by the following steps:

- (a) the total formulation to be used in the coating is cast on a glass plate so as to produce a film with a dry weight of 80 g/m²;
- (b) the film is initially dried at 23 °C and once tack free dried for an additional hour at 80 °C;
- (c) the film is weighed before being wetted and tested for tensile strength and Young's Modulus and is visually checked for any change in transparency;
- (d) a sample of the film is boiled in water containing 10 g/litre Na₂CO₃ for 30 minutes;
- (e) the film is then rinsed in cold water and the steps (b) to (c) are then repeated;

wherein when the film is dried and re-weighed the film meets the following criteria:

- (i) the wet tensile strength and Young's Modulus of the boiled film are not less than 90% of the initial film wet tensile strength and Young's Modulus;
- (ii) the film shows no perceptible loss of transparency; and
- (iii) the dried weight of the film is not less than 98% of the original weight.

[0011] The present invention also provides a security document comprising a security paper having printing on at least one side thereof and a coating composition as defined above applied over said printing.

[0012] The polyurethane used in the coating in the present invention is the same as defined in EP-A-815,321 which is herein incorporated by reference. The aqueous polyurethane and/or polyacrylate may be in the form of an aqueous dispersion, for example having a polyurethane and/or polyacrylate content of 2 to 70 wt. %, especially 5 to 40 wt. %, more especially 5 to 30 wt. % with respect to the total weight of the dispersion. The coating may incorporate an extender such as a polyacrylate and hence be in the form of, for example, a urethane-acrylic blend; such a blend provides good water and chemical resistant coatings. Also, the low cost of an extender relative to that of the polyurethane results in the blend being considerably less costly than the polyurethane alone.

[0013] The coating may be a polyurethane and/or polyacrylate dispersion with a one component pre-crosslinked polyurethane and/or polyacrylate or with a one component, blocked polyurethane which has isocyanate groups chemically bound to the polymer chains but which isocyanate groups are regenerated at those elevated temperatures which are generally used in the final stages of a security printing process, for example using heat from the printer driers. Furthermore, the coating may be a polyurethane and/or polyacrylate dispersion of a two-component product which can be crosslinked by using multi-functional reagents such as melamine/formaldehyde precondensate. Crosslinking agents which may be used are described later. The polyurethane may be, for example of the aliphatic polyester and/or aliphatic polyether type. It may also, for example, be an aliphatic polycarbonate polyurethane. The polyacrylate may be, for example, of the aromatic type, particularly a styrene/acrylic copolymer such as Neocryl 1092 obtainable from Neoresins. The polyacrylate may be the same or different as the polyacrylate extender.

[0014] If a mixture of a polyurethane and a polyacrylate are used, they can be used in any proportion, for example in a ratio of 90:10 to 10:90 solids content by weight, preferably 75:25 to 25:75.

[0015] A polyurethane and/or polyacrylate composition for use in the method of this invention may include ingredients known to those skilled in the art such as cat-

alysts, cosolvents and emulsifying agents and/or surfactants. Care has to be taken, however, because an emulsifying agent can detract from the performance of the coating under wet or humid conditions. Additionally, other known additives may be used such as defoamants, flow additives, thickeners and/or viscosity modifiers. In general an additive included in the coating composition should be kept to a minimum as important properties such as adhesion to the substrate may be adversely affected.

[0016] Whilst the main aspect of the present invention is the provision of beneficial unpigmented coatings in order to provide the advantages described herein, in one aspect of the invention various functional additives may be used in order to provide specific effects which enhance the security of a security document produced from the paper of this invention without significantly interfering with the general benefits provided by the unpigmented polyurethane and/or polyacrylate coating. It will be understood by those skilled in the art that pigments are added to coatings, especially to paper coatings to provide colour or opacity. The functional security additives which may be used in accordance with this invention are particulate materials which satisfy the following criteria:

- a) the additive does not increase the opacity of the paper, once the coating is applied, by more than 1%. This ensures that the additive has no appreciable effect on the transparency of the coating and hence the general benefits of unpigmented coatings are retained;
- b) the presence of the additive in the polyurethane and/or polyacrylate coating does not cause failure of the tests which identify the polyurethane and/or polyacrylate coating for this invention, namely the König hardness test and the water-resistance test.

[0017] The functional additive is preferably a fluorescent or iridescent pigment.

[0018] A security functional additive will provide some specific effect to enhance the security or recognisability of a security document produced in accordance with this invention and hence constitutes an additional security feature when such additive is present in the polyurethane and/or polyacrylate coating. In general, security functional additives fall into three classes:

- (a) publicly recognisable security features such as iridescent pigments;
- (b) security features which provide higher levels of security and which are detectable with security equipment, such as fluorescent pigment, or magnetic particles; and
- (c) covert security features detectable by use of sophisticated detecting equipment such as may be used by central banks, e.g. phosphorescent pigments which possess unique decay times.

[0019] In order to achieve the prime requirements of this invention, the coating comprising the polyurethane and/or polyacrylate must be substantially transparent as explained herein, and preferably have a 100% modulus of greater than 4.0 mPa. It is desirable that the polyurethane and/or polyacrylate coating has an ultimate tensile strength of greater than 40 mPa, for example from 40 to 80 mPa, as well as having a König hardness of greater than 20 seconds, for example from 20 to 40 seconds.

[0020] Extenders can be used in the formulation of the coating in order to reduce the cost; they may also impart useful properties such as improved adhesion of surface applied security features, such as holograms.

[0021] Extenders which may be used in accordance with this invention are typically dispersions of water insoluble binders such as styrene/acrylic copolymers, acrylated vinyl acetate, vinyl chloride/ethylene copolymers, or vinyl acetate copolymers. They are generally unable to withstand both the water-resistance and hardness tests. An alternative extender is a VA/VEOVA copolymer, for example that sold under the trade name Vinamul 6975.

[0022] However, in combination with a suitable polyurethane and/or polyacrylate the extenders function satisfactorily in terms of the criteria previously set out, provided that the composition comprising the polyurethane and/or polyacrylate and the extender possess the specified König Hardness and pass the water-resistance test.

[0023] The extenders may be added at levels up to 70, preferably from 15 to 50, parts per 100 parts of the coating formulation. The strongest and most water-resistant extenders can be added at this level. Weaker and less water-resistant extenders clearly cannot be added at such high levels bearing in mind the properties specified for the coating composition.

[0024] Crosslinking agents can be used to increase the water-resistance, including laundry resistance, and hardness of the polyurethane and/or polyacrylate and/or polyacrylates coating. They can be used to obtain the required properties from polyurethanes and/or polyacrylates which would otherwise be unsuitable. They can also improve the properties of the polyurethane and/or polyacrylate component thereby enabling greater quantities of extender to be used. Suitable crosslinking agents include polyaziridine, carbodilimide, isocyanate and zirconium salts. Other crosslinkers such as an epoxy resin may be used but are less practical due to their high cure temperatures or longer cure times.

[0025] The dry coat weight of the coating applied over the printing is, for example, from 0.05 to 20g per square metre, preferably 0.5 to 10g per square metre, more preferably 1 to 7g per square metre and especially 2 to 6g per square metre. It is also possible for the security paper to be coated with a coating composition before it is printed. Any coating composition may be used, although desirably a coating composition meeting the

same requirements as the coating composition defined above applied over the printing is used. In this case the coating composition applied under the printing may be the same or different as the coating composition applied over the printing. The dry coat weight of the coating composition applied under the printing is generally from 0.5 to 5g per square metre, preferably 1 to 2.5g per square metre. If more than one coating composition is applied, the total dry coat weight of the coating compositions applied before and after the printing is preferably 0.05 to 20g per square metre, more preferably from 0.5 to 10g per square metre, even more preferably from 3 to 10g per square metre, most preferably from 3 to 7g per square metre, especially 4 to 5g per square metre. We have found that there may be an advantage in using two coats rather than one coat since this leads to less coating defects.

[0026] It is possible for further printing to be applied over the coating applied above the initial printing. Such further printing may, for example, be part of the design of the security document or may simply be a unique identifier for a particular security document, such as a number.

[0027] The printing on the security document may be any type of printing, for example litho or intaglio or a combination thereof. The coating may be applied at any time after the first printing, but preferably after substantially all of the printing except optionally for minor additional printing such as unique identifiers. It has surprisingly been found that the coatings used in the present invention can be used over intaglio printing even though the intaglio printing has a thickness which is typically 40 µm but which can be significantly greater, e.g. about 85 µm, creating large peaks and valleys on the printed paper. The coating can be applied over all of the surface of the document, or only over parts of a surface. Thus same areas may be left uncoated.

[0028] The method of the present invention can be used by a single security document manufacturer on paper provided by different paper manufacturers. There may also be a cost saving since it is only the final security document which needs to be coated and not large paper rolls, some of which paper may be wasted.

[0029] The security paper may be prepared by any known method such as the method described in EP-A-815,321. Thus paper-making fibres may be supplied to a papermachine, at least one security feature incorporated into the paper during its manufacture to produce the paper, and a sizing agent applied to the resulting paper to size the paper. Suitable security features are, for example, a watermark and/or an embedded thread or windowed thread which may itself optionally incorporate visual or a coverture security elements.

[0030] The present invention is now further described in the following Examples.

Examples

Example 1

[0031] A soiling test was carried out to demonstrate the advantages of the present invention.

[0032] The soiling test was based on the test defined in British Standard BS 4948/1973 "Method for assessment of the visible soiling of upholstery fabrics", but with the following changes:

1. The soil test media consisted of the felt cubes impregnated with a composition comprising:

- 10 g coconut oil
- 10 g cotton seed oil
- 12 g groundnut oil
- 4 g lauric acid
- 14 g myristic acid
- 41 g palmitic acid
- 13 g stearic acid
- 18 g oleic acid
- 20 g cholesterol
- 40 g liquid paraffin
- 10 cm³ DAG colloidal graphite dispersion;

2. The grey scale assessment test was replaced by lightness measurements L* on a Labscan II machine with the following conditions:

- D65 illuminant
- 10° observer
- 50 mm port
- 44 mm area of view
- no UV component
- black tile used as backing

The position and orientation of the samples was the same before and after the soil test in order to reduce any errors;

3. Ambient conditions were used, and the temperature and humidity were not controlled;

4. The sample size was cut to fit the chamber. Typically the sample was 67mm square or a circle cut to the chamber diameter;

5. For the felt cubes, a new batch was standardised against the old batch to ensure a similar degree of soiling;

6. 20 cubes were used rather than 40;

7. The tests were carried out for 30 minutes rather than from 90 minutes to 3 hours; and

8. The cubes were changed when they showed

signs of wear, rather than being specifically monitored for their soiling performance, so long as the change in L* was greater than 10 on unprinted control paper.

[0033] A paper coating composition was prepared by adding the following components to water to provide a composition containing about 35% solids:

Polyurethane -	
Witcobond WB785	40kg
CX 100 Cross Linker	150g
Antifoam	40g

[0034] The composition was then diluted before use to a suitable coating viscosity and to achieve the coat weights defined below.

[0035] Four samples of paper were tested. The first was uncoated paper having printing thereon to act as a comparison. The second had the above coating under the printing at a coat weight of 2.5 g/m². The third and fourth were in accordance with the present invention. The third sample consisted of the same printed paper but with a coating only over the printing in accordance with the present invention. This coating had a coat weight of 2.5 g/m². The fourth sample also consisted of the same printed paper but with the same coating being applied both under the printing at a coat weight of 2 g/m² and over the printing in a coat weight of 2.5 g/m².

[0036] The soil index was calculated for a number of different paper samples using lightness measurements obtained from this test and the following formula:

$$\text{SoilIndex} = \frac{\Delta L^*_{\text{Control}} - \Delta L^*_{\text{Test}}}{\Delta L^*_{\text{Control}}} \times 100\%$$

[0037] The following results were obtained:

- Uncoated paper: 0%
- Paper with coating under printing: 30%
- Paper with coating over printing: 36%
- Paper with coating under and over printing: 50%

[0038] This clearly shows that the coating method of the present invention provides paper having less susceptibility to soiling.

Example 2

[0039] A paper coating composition was prepared by adding 90 parts of Neocryl 1092, a polyacrylate obtainable from Neoresins, to 10 parts of water. The same tests set out in Example 1 were carried out and similar results were obtained showing the superiority of the present invention.

Example 3

[0040] A paper coating composition was prepared by mixing together the following components:

Witcobond WB 785 30 parts
 Neocryl 1092 60 parts
 CX 100 cross linker 0.4 parts
 Antifoam 0.1 parts
 Water 10 parts

[0041] The same tests set out in Example 1 were carried out and similar results were obtained showing the superiority of the present invention.

Claims

1. A method of preparing a security document which comprises printing on at least one side of a security paper and thereafter applying to at least one side of the printed security paper over the printing a substantially transparent coating composition comprising an unpigmented polyurethane and/or polyacrylate, said coating composition being such as to provide a film, when cast on a glass surface, that has a König hardness of from 15 to 130 seconds, and also passes the water resistance test as defined by the following steps:
 - (a) the total formulation to be used in the coating is cast on a glass plate so as to produce a film with a dry weight of 80 g/m²;
 - (b) the film is initially dried at 23 °C and once tack free dried for an additional hour at 80 °C;
 - (c) the film is weighed before being wetted and tested for tensile strength and Young's Modulus and is visually checked for any change in transparency;
 - (d) a sample of the film is boiled in water containing 10 g/litre Na₂CO₃ for 30 minutes;
 - (e) the film is then rinsed in cold water and the steps (b) to (c) are then repeated;

wherein when the film is dried and re-weighed the film meets the following criteria:

 - (i) the wet tensile strength and Young's Modulus of the boiled film are not less than 90% of the initial film wet tensile strength and Young's Modulus;
 - (ii) the film shows no perceptible loss of transparency; and
 - (iii) the dried weight of the film is not less than 98% of the original weight.
2. A method according to claim 1 wherein the coating comprises an unpigmented polyurethane.
3. A method according to claim 2 wherein the polyurethane is of the aliphatic polyester type.
4. A method according to claim 2 or claim 3 wherein the polyurethane is of the aliphatic polyether type.
5. A method according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the coating composition comprises an unpigmented polyacrylate.
6. A method according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the polyurethane and/or polyacrylate is in the form of an aqueous dispersion.
7. A method according to claim 6 wherein the dispersion has a polyurethane and/or polyacrylate content of 5 to 40 wt% with respect to the total weight of the dispersion.
8. A method according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the coating composition also comprises an extender.
9. A method according to claim 8 wherein the extender is a dispersion of a water-insoluble styrene/acrylic copolymer, acrylated vinyl acetate, vinyl chloride/ethylene copolymer or vinyl acetate copolymer, or a VA/VEOVA copolymer.
10. A method according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the polyurethane and/or polyacrylate is crosslinkable and is crosslinked after being applied.
11. A method according to claim 10 wherein the crosslinking is effected using an aziridine as a crosslinking agent.
12. A method according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the polyurethane and/or polyacrylate composition also comprises a functional additive which is a fluorescent or iridescent additive.
13. A method according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the security paper comprises a security feature which is a watermark, an embedded thread and/or a windowed thread.
14. A method according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the dry coat weight of the coating is from 0.05 to 20g per square metre.
15. A method according to claim 14 wherein the dry coat weight is 1 to 7g per square metre.
16. A method according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the security paper is also coated with a coating composition before it is printed.

17. A method according to claim 16 wherein the coating composition applied before the printing is as defined in claim 1.
18. A method according to claim 16 or 17 wherein the dry coat weight of the coating composition applied before the printing is from 0.5 to 5g per square metre. 5
19. A method according to claim 18 wherein the dry coat weight is from 1 to 2.5g per square metre. 10
20. A method according to any one of claims 16 to 19 wherein the total dry coat weight of the coating compositions applied before and after the printing is from 3 to 7g per square metre. 15
21. A method according to any one of the preceding claims wherein further printing is applied to the security document over the coating. 20
22. A method according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the security document is a banknote. 25
23. A security document comprising a security paper having printing on at least one side thereof and a coating composition as defined in any one of the preceding claims applied over said printing. 30

35

40

45

50

55



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 03 25 0978

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.7)
Y	DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 199816 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class A25, AN 1998-173829 XP002237390 & JP 10 035127 A (KONICA CORP), 10 February 1998 (1998-02-10) * abstract *	1-23	B41M3/14 B41M7/00 B42D15/00
Y	DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 199816 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class A25, AN 1998-173640 XP002237391 & JP 10 034904 A (KONICA CORP), 10 February 1998 (1998-02-10) * abstract *	1-23	
Y,D	WO 96 28610 A (FOULKES JONATHAN PAUL ;PORTALS LTD (GB); HOWLAND PAUL (GB)) 19 September 1996 (1996-09-19) * page 3, line 11 - page 4, line 29 * * page 8, line 1 - page 9, line 10 * * claims 1,8 *	1-23	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.7) B41M B42D
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search MUNICH		Date of completion of the search 7 April 2003	Examiner Patosuo, S
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document			

EPO FORM 1503 03 82 (p04c01)

**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 03 25 0978

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report.
The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

07-04-2003

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
JP 10035127	A	10-02-1998	CN	1176404 A	18-03-1998
			US	6087051 A	11-07-2000

JP 10034904	A	10-02-1998	CN	1176404 A	18-03-1998
			US	6087051 A	11-07-2000

WO 9628610	A	19-09-1996	AT	186345 T	15-11-1999
			AU	705477 B2	20-05-1999
			AU	4950596 A	02-10-1996
			BG	62980 B1	29-12-2000
			BG	101890 A	30-10-1998
			BR	9607409 A	07-07-1998
			CA	2215304 A1	19-09-1996
			CN	1178565 A ,B	08-04-1998
			CZ	9702855 A3	18-02-1998
			DE	69605026 D1	09-12-1999
			DE	69605026 T2	02-03-2000
			DK	815321 T3	25-04-2000
			EG	20623 A	31-10-1999
			EP	0815321 A1	07-01-1998
			ES	2140828 T3	01-03-2000
			WO	9628610 A1	19-09-1996
			HU	9800282 A2	29-06-1998
			JP	11501703 T	09-02-1999
			PL	322120 A1	05-01-1998
			RO	115892 B	28-07-2000
			RU	2138593 C1	27-09-1999
			SI	815321 T1	29-02-2000
			TR	960873 A2	21-10-1996
			TR	9700949 T1	21-02-1998
			US	5868902 A	09-02-1999
			ZA	9601899 A	12-09-1996

EPO FORM P0459

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82